



library@chuka.ac.ke; www.chuka.ac.ke

INFLUENCE OF INNOVATIVENESS ON PERFORMANCE OF FOOD AND BEVERAGE MANUFACTURING ENTERPRISES IN NAIROBI CITY COUNTY.

Thuita T.M., Miriti G. and Mwirigi R.
 Chuka University, P.O Box 109-60400, Chuka
tthuita@chuka.ac.ke

Citation

Thuita T.M., Miriti G. and Mwirigi R. (2023). Influence of innovativeness on performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi City County. In: Isutsa, D. K. (Ed.). Proceedings of the Chuka University 9th Annual International Research Conference held in Chuka University, Chuka, Kenya from 24th to 25th November, 519-526.

ABSTRACT

Increase in global competition, ever-changing technologies and unstable business environment as a result of globalization, market liberalization and Covid 19 impact on businesses, have made firms search for other means of survival and growth. Food and beverage manufacturing enterprises are also experiencing these challenges. This is demonstrated by the decrease in contribution to GDP, ranging from 13.6% in the early 1990s to 7.6% in 2020, thereby raising doubt on whether the sector is capable to meet the goals of Vision 2030. Different studies around the world have suggested the adoption of entrepreneurial practices as part of the solution. However, the findings of these studies have been inconclusive. The objective of this study was to establish the influence of Innovativeness on performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi City County. Descriptive survey research design was adopted. The target population was one hundred and thirty-eight food and beverage manufacturing firms registered under KAM by 2020. A census was conducted and data was obtained using a questionnaire. Data was analyzed using simple and multiple regression analysis with the help of SPSS version 25.0. Hypothesis was tested using t-statistic at 5% significance level. The study found that innovativeness had a positive influence on performance (regression coefficient 0.446, p-value of 0.000). The study recommends that firms should assess their ability to embrace new ideas and processes that will lead to development of new products, services, markets or technologies. Innovativeness plays an essential role in doing away with challenges associated with businesses.

Keywords: Innovativeness, Performance, Food and beverage manufacturing enterprises and Kenya Association of Manufacturers.

INTRODUCTION

Innovation represents the extent to which a firm takes part in or welcomes new ideas, products, services or processes (Gathanya, 2011; Wang et al., 2008). By outlining the process of creative destruction, Schumpeter (1942) stressed the importance of innovation in the process of entrepreneurship. When market systems are disturbed by the entry of new goods or services, this process takes place. According to Onyango and Ngahu (2018), the main aspect in entrepreneurship has been proven to be the innovation as it is a consistent theme used in literature. Innovations can differ in their magnitude and innovativeness represents a fundamental inclination towards withdrawing from technologies or practices that have been used formerly and venturing beyond them (Lin & Ho, 2008; Kimberly, 1981). There are many ways to categorize innovations, but among the most important ones are technological innovation, market

innovation, process innovation, and product innovation. This can be accredited to the fact that all other classifications of innovation can fall under these three major categories (Mwaura et al., 2015; Callaghan, 2009; Lumpkin & Dess, 1996).

Innovativeness can take on many different forms, such as embracing novel concepts, doing research and development to create new goods, entering untapped areas, or implementing cutting-edge technology to the firm's advantage (Wiklund & Shepherd, 2003). Additionally, Lin and Ho (2008) suggest that innovation is important for the creation of new products and the dissemination of information for advancement in the future. Accordingly, high levels of technology, product and market innovation reflect an essential gauge for SMEs performance (Avlonitis & Salavou, 2007). Lee and Lim (2019) posit that firms which are innovative and apply creativity will create remarkable performance and economic growth (Ritala & Laukkanen, 2013; Kraus et al., 2012). Majority of studies advocate that, innovativeness is one of the essential strategic orientation firms can use to attain success in the long run and better their performance (Brettel & Rottenberger, 2013). Innovations can differ in their magnitude and innovativeness represents a fundamental inclination towards withdrawing from technologies or practices that have been used formerly and venturing beyond them (Lin & Ho, 2008; Kimberly, 1981). There are many ways to categorize innovations, but among the most important ones are technological innovation, market innovation, process innovation, and product innovation. This can be accredited to the fact that all other classifications of innovation can fall under these three major categories (Mwaura et al., 2015; Callaghan, 2009; Lumpkin & Dess, 1996).

In Kenya, one of the top three production industries is usually manufacturing (KNBS, 2016). Manufacturing firms are those entities that engage in physical activities or processes that add value to a product or raw material (Pycraft et al., 2010). Kenya's manufacturing industry contributes 65 percent of all industrial sectors' GDP. One of the important industries identified in Kenya's Vision 2030 that can promote economic growth is this one (Kirungu, 2012). Under the Big 4 Agenda of Agro-processing, the Government targets to improve manufacturing from 16% to 50% in terms of how it contributes to GDP, create 1000 SMEs and generate 200,000 jobs. According to GOK (2015), the manufacturing sector contributed 11% of the Kenyan income and according to World Bank Group (2018) it has hence been on a downward spiral. By the year 2016, the sector contributed 9.3% of GDP, 26% merchandise exports and 280,000 job opportunities (KNBS, 2017). A significant tradable sector and a driver of economic expansion is the manufacturing industry (Rotich & Wanjau, 2011). However, this sector has been experiencing low growth rates which average to 4% over the last decade (KAM, 2016). In 2000 (CCG, 2008), manufacturing was the second-largest economic subsector; however, in 2010 it ranked fourth (World Bank, 2013). So, from 13.6 percent in the early 1990s to 9.3 percent in 2016, 8.7 percent in 2017, 8.4 percent in 2018, 7.9 percent in 2019 and 7.6 percent in 2020, it has decreased its contribution to GDP (KNBS, 2021).

Food and beverage is one of the biggest sectors in the world (McGrath, 2016). It comprises of all the firms involved in processing of raw food materials and converting them into finished products. Nationally, most of them have their headquarters in Nairobi City County and are privately owned (KAM, 2020). According to Mutunga and Minja (2014), food and beverage manufacturing sector has growth prospects. This is highly attributed to the fact that Kenya is an agricultural country which provides them with more opportunities for manufacturing locally produced products. The contribution of food and beverage manufacturing sector is big. In the year 2017, the food and beverage sector contributed to 41% of the GDP of the manufacturing sector which in turn contributed to 11.4% of the country's GDP (KNBS, 2018). About 3.5 percent of the GDP and Ksh 254,686 million in exports were attributed to food, beverage and tobacco in 2017 (KAM, 2018; Kenya Business Guide, 2018). The food and beverage manufacturing sector directly impacts the overall economy. However, despite the various interventions that the government has instituted to uplift the sector including government spending in line with the medium-term plan 3 (MTP 111) geared towards empowering the sector to achieve its objectives of Big 4 Agenda, Vision 2030 and Kenya industrial transformation programme, the sector is still stagnant.

According to Kenya's Economic Survey (2020), the value added by food and beverage manufacturing sector has been declining from 41% in 2017, 40.5% in 2018, 39.8% in 2019 to 37.9% in 2020 (World Bank, 2021). This sector has been facing several challenges leading to declining margins and contribution to the overall economic growth. This has been a growing industry that has experienced a rapid growth from the early 80's until recently. The high competition has resulted in a flooded market with products from other countries taking up a large market share of Kenyan local market (Kenya, 2008). In comparison to other countries, the sector's contribution is still low. In Sudan the contribution of the food and beverage sector was 51.44%, 45.6% in Ethiopia and Iran 43.1% in 2019 (KAM, 2020).

Performance is an outcome of enterprise activities. According to Lamine and Lakhil (2018), performance is an analysis of the firm's activities to confirm if it is working towards achieving goals. Financial performance, market performance, and shareholder return on investment are all facets of performance (Neely, 2002). Richard et al. (2009), noted that despite firm performance being commonly used, it is rarely defined or measured consistently. The goal of this study was to find out the extent into which entrepreneurial orientation affected performance. According to Behn (2003) different purposes require different measures for them to be meaningful while Venkatraman and Ramanujam (1986) posit that, by broadening the performance measures, the researcher will face challenges when collecting data. Several measures have been adopted by different researchers to measure performance in relation to entrepreneurial orientation. It is in this regard, that the current study adopted sales growth, profitability and market share growth as the dimensions to measure performance. These dimensions were in line with the research objectives and have previously been adopted by other researchers (Mburu, 2019; Kithaka, 2016).

In order to ascertain the connection between EO and performance of SMEs in Labuan, Baba and Elumalai (2011) carried out a study. According to the study, different types of competitive aggressiveness, proactiveness, risk-taking, and innovativeness have their own unique relationships with organizational performance. The study looked at entrepreneurial inclination as a multidimensional phenomenon. As a result, additional studies on the influence of entrepreneurial orientation as a unidimensional construct are required, which is one of the study's main foci.

Yoon et al. (2012) established that, there was positive impacts on performance in relation to overall entrepreneurial orientation of start-ups in South Korea. Overall EO effect on firm performance was significantly notable in early stage and in growth stage. However, for pro-activeness, performance effect of entrepreneurial orientation was only significant in the growth stage and not for early stage. In growth stage, the performance effect of innovativeness was found to be significant, but insignificant for risk-taking. The study concluded that, entrepreneurial orientation effect patterns are contingent on the stage of growth and are not universalistic. They suggested that, multidimensional aspect of entrepreneurial orientation seems to be more realistic than overall entrepreneurial orientation when displaying the degree of development of entrepreneurship. This is in contrast with studies done by other scholars such as Miller (1983) and Lumpkin and Dess (1996), thus the need for more research.

Ali and Abdel (2014) did a study in order to ascertain the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on the efficiency of Somali women-owned businesses. A sample of 200 firms was purposefully chosen to complete the questionnaire. Innovativeness was measured using products line changes and service line changes. The results showed a weak but significant positive relationship. By conceptualizing innovation differently, the current study sought to establish whether different results will be obtained.

According to a study by Atalay et al. (2013), technological innovation had a significant and positive impact on firm performance, but non-technology innovation had no impact on firm performance. The purpose of this investigation was to see if similar findings could be obtained by concentrating on the Kenyan environment and use other innovativeness indicators.

Hilgers (2011) did a study in the Netherlands to investigate the connection between international entrepreneurial orientation and performance. Six family-owned manufacturing companies were the target respondents. The study used a multiple-case approach, and data were collected through interviews. The findings showed that innovativeness significantly improved performance when compared to pro-activeness, risk-taking, competitive aggressiveness, and autonomy. This study used a census to see if it would yield different results that may be due to the limited sample size of those interviewed.

A 2007 study by Keh et al. sought to determine the effects of entrepreneurial orientation and marketing knowledge on the success of SMEs in Singapore. Data was obtained from a sample of 2239 firms but only 294 responses were usable from retail and service sectors. The study found that innovative SMEs who always take advantage of new opportunities achieve better performance. The responses were too few from the sample used and thus unrepresentative. By adopting a census survey of the target population, this study sought to determine whether it will yield more generalizable results by improving on the response rate.

In a study by Zerenler et al. (2008), which aimed at evaluating the impact of innovativeness on SMEs' performance in the Turkish automotive supplier business, it was discovered that innovation was strongly associated to SMEs' ability to grow. Similar findings were made by Wu et al. (2008) who investigated the mediating influence of innovation on the growth of SMEs in Taiwan's manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries. They discovered that these effects are present at a level of significance that is acceptable. These studies conceived innovation differently and evaluated its impact on SMEs. In the current study, innovation's impact on performance was evaluated both alone and in conjunction with other aspects of entrepreneurial orientation.

Similarly, Avlonitis and Salavou (2007) did a study on product innovativeness and performance among SMEs in Greece. Data was obtained from 143 SMEs from food, beverage and textile sectors. Data was analyzed using ANOVA. The results indicated that more innovative SMEs have a considerably higher performance. The study established the need for more and similar studies on the same in other countries and industries so as to validate the empirical data with theory.

In a study conducted by Khalili et al. (2013), the authors utilized confirmatory factor analysis to test their research hypotheses and analyze the data on the effects of entrepreneurial orientation on innovative performance in Iranian petroleum businesses. The findings showed that the research variables had a good association. The methodologies for data analysis in the current study included descriptive statistics, regression, and correlation.

Kimani (2016) conducted a study in Kenya to determine whether financial innovation adoption enhanced SME performance in Kenya. 487 SMEs that were registered with KRA made up the sample. The findings indicated a

beneficial association between performance and financial innovation. Therefore, the current study sought to ascertain whether the same findings would hold true if the manufacturing of food and beverages were the primary emphasis.

Objective of the study

The general purpose of the study was to establish the influence of innovativeness on performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi City County.

H0₁: Innovativeness has no statistically significant influence on the performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi City County.

METHODOLOGY

The study adopted descriptive survey research design because it describes the state of affairs, as it exists at present in the study. The study targeted 138 food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi city county that are registered under Kenya Association of Manufacturers (2020). Census technique was used to improve the reliability of the results. Data was collected from the general managers using a questionnaire. Descriptive and Inferential statistics were used to analyze data. Simple and Multiple linear regression analyses were then conducted using SPSS software version 25.0 in order to address study objective. Assumption of linear regression model of multicollinearity, homoscedasticity, normality and autocorrelation were tested before analyzing the data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Innovativeness and Performance

Innovativeness was measured in terms of new products and services, new technology and research and development. Performance was measured using sales growth, profitability and market share growth. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation and frequencies were carried out. The summary of descriptive statistics is shown in Table 1.

Table 35: Descriptive Statistics

	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	S.D
	%	%	%	%	%		
The organization regularly introduces new products	0	6.2	19.6	54.6	19.6	3.88	.794
The organization regularly introduces new services	0	1.0	25.8	53.6	19.6	3.9175	.70223
The organization places a strong emphasis on new innovative processes.	0	2.1	23.7	55.7	18.6	3.9072	.70832
The firm has increased the number of products offered during the past two years.	0	0	22.7	51.5	25.8	4.0309	.69901
The business places a strong emphasis on continuous improvement in products.	0	0	23.7	47.4	28.9	4.0515	.72702
The organization is willing to work towards technological leadership	0	0	20.6	49.5	29.9	4.0928	.70832
In the organization there is a strong relationship between the number of new ideas generated and the number of new ideas successfully implemented	0	3.1	16.5	51.5	28.9	4.0619	.76123
The firm emphasizes on market research and development	0	2.1	18.6	46.4	33.0	4.1031	.77034

The firm has a culture of adopting new technology	0	2.1	17.5	50.5	29.9	4.0825	.74540
The organization supports development of new technology	0	1.0	19.6	41.2	38.1	4.1649	.77299
We have a widely held belief that innovation is an absolute necessity for the organization's future.	0	2.1	15.5	43.3	39.2	4.1959	.77243
Valid N (listwise)	97					4.044	.50652

The results show the mean of the items was 4.044 meaning that, majority agreed to a great extent with the statements and there was no high variation of responses since the standard deviation falls within 0.699 and 0.794. These results imply that, most food and beverage manufacturing firms have incorporated innovative practices into their operations and are aware of the benefits of innovation to their enterprises. According to Covin and Miles (2011) innovation is an essential aspect in entrepreneurship and entrepreneurship cannot exist without it.

Pearson Correlation between the Study Variables

Correlation determines the direction of a relationship between any two variables. Correlation was presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Correlation between innovativeness and performance

		Performance	Innovativeness
Performance	Pearson Correlation	1	.354**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	97	97
Innovativeness	Pearson Correlation	.354*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	97	97

** .Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The Pearson correlation was used to determine the relationship between innovativeness on performance. As indicated in Table 2, the correlation coefficient was 0.354 with p-value of 0.000 which was found to be significant at 5% significance level. This implies that there exists a strong positive relationship between innovativeness on performance. An increase in innovativeness will lead to an increase in performance. This means that, if innovativeness is improved by a unit, the level of performance would improve by 0.354 units. Therefore, the null hypothesis that stated innovativeness has no statistically significant influence on performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi city county was rejected.

Multiple Linear Regression

A multiple linear regression was used to examine the relationship between innovativeness and performance. Results were represented in Table 3a, b and c.

Table 3a: Summary of regression model for Innovativeness

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error Change Statistics				Sig. Change	F	Durbin-Watson
				of the Estimate	the R Square Change	F Change	df1			
1	.354 ^a	.125	.116	.5996	.125	13.631	1	95	.000	2.026

a. Predictors: (Constant), innovativeness

b. Dependent Variable: performance.

Results in Table 3a show the model explanatory power as measured using R squared (coefficient of determination). The R squared is 0.125. This implies that innovativeness explains 12.5% of the variation on performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises while the remaining 87.5% can be explained by other factors excluded in the model.

Table 3b: Analysis of variance for Innovativeness

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	4.900	1	4.900	13.631	.000 ^b
	Residual	34.151	95	0.359		
	Total	39.051	96			

a. Dependent Variable: performance

c. Predictors: (Constant), innovativeness

Results on Table 3b, shows the results of analysis of variance (F=13.631, p=0.000). The P value is less than the acceptable level of 0.05. This indicates that the model testing the impact of innovativeness on performance was statistically significant. This means that the model is fit for prediction purposes.

Table 3c: Regression Coefficient for innovativeness

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Correlations			Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Zero-order	Partial	Part	Tolerance VIF
1 (Constant)	2.379	.492		4.832	.000				
Innovativeness	0.446	.121	.354	3.692	.000	.354	.354	.354	1.000 1.000

Results in Table 3c show the regression coefficients which indicate that, there is a positive and significantly linear association between innovativeness and performance (B = 0.446, p value = 0.00). This means that a unit increase in innovativeness boosts performance by 0.446 units. The research discovered a constant of 2.379 with a slope of 0.446. The t-statistic was 4.382, with a P value of 0.000 indicating that the relationship is significant. The equation below depicts the simple regression model for innovativeness.

$$Y = 2.379 + 0.446X_1$$

As a result of the findings, the null hypothesis stating that innovativeness has no statistically significant influence on performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi City County was rejected.

DISCUSSION

The objective of this study was to examine the influence of innovativeness on the performance of food and beverage manufacturing enterprises in Nairobi City County. Descriptive analysis revealed that majority of them have placed a strong emphasis on innovative processes, regularly introducing new products and services as well as adopting new technology. However, only a small percentage support development of new technology and conduct market research. Correlation analysis revealed a positive and significant relationship between innovativeness and performance. Similarly, regression analysis showed a positive and significant relationship between innovativeness and performance whereby, innovativeness explained 12.5% of the changes in performance. In order to realize good performance which is sustainable, an organization must come up with innovative strategies that will ensure that they continually improve on their products as well as come up with new updated ones. They must also buy the technology that is most efficient, effective and cost cutting so as to give their customers quality goods and services.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that, there is a positive relationship between innovativeness and performance. Innovativeness is a critical entrepreneurial trait that has a positive and significant impact on performance. Innovativeness is a company's capacity to embrace fresh concepts and creative processes that may result in new products, markets or technical procedures. Entrepreneurship cannot exist without innovation, which is a critical component of strategy. Innovation plays a vital role in resolving corporate difficulties and challenges regardless of market volatility, allowing organizations to prosper. Therefore, it is important to examine the innovativeness employed by food and beverage manufacturing enterprises. They should adopt measures aimed at gathering knowledge and adopting technologies that are geared towards developing new products, improving customized products, enhancing customer satisfaction and lowering the production costs.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Food and beverage manufacturing enterprises should assess their ability to embrace new ideas and processes that will lead to development of new products, services, markets or technologies. Innovativeness plays an essential role in doing away with challenges associated with their businesses. As a result, the study advised that food and beverage manufacturing companies prioritize the innovation process in their organizational strategy today more than ever. Shorter product life cycles, increased client tastes, preferences and expectations, as well as fierce competition force organizations to constantly innovate in order to remain competitive.

REFERENCES

- Ali, A., & Ali, A. (2014). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Performance of Women Owned and Managed Micro and Small Enterprises in Somalia. *ZENITH International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(1), 25-36.
- Al-Hakim, L., & Hassan, S. (2013). Knowledge Management Strategies, Innovation, and Organizational Performance: An Empirical Study of the Iraqi MTS. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*.
- Atalay, M., Anafarta, N., & Sarvan, F. (2013). The relationship between innovation and firm performance: Empirical evidence from Turkish automotive supplier industry. *Procedia-social and behavioral sciences*, 75, 226-235.
- Avlonitis, G., & Salavou, H. (2007). Entrepreneurial Orientation of SMEs, Product Innovativeness, and Performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(5), 566-575.
- Baba, R., & Elumalai, S. (2011). Entrepreneurial orientation of SMEs in Labuan and its effects on performance. *Faculty of Economics and Business (UNIMAS)*.
- Behn, R. D. (2003). Why measure performance? Different purposes required different measures. *Public administration review*, 63(5), 586-606.
- Brettel, M., & Rottenberger, J. (2013). Examining the Link Between Entrepreneurial Orientation and Learning Processes in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 51(4), 471-490.
- Callaghan, C. (2009). *Entrepreneurial Orientation and Entrepreneurial Performance of Central Johannesburg Informal Sector Street Traders* (Doctoral Dissertation).
- Covin, J., & Wales, W. (2012). The Measurement of Entrepreneurial Orientation. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 36(4), 677-702
- Covin, J., & Miller, D. (2014). International Entrepreneurial Orientation: Conceptual Considerations, Research Themes, Measurement Issues, and Future Research Directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(1), 11-44.
- Gathenya, J. (2011). *Entrepreneurial Strategic Planning Practices and Firm Performance Among Women-Led Small and Medium Enterprises in Kenya* (Doctoral Dissertation).
- Government of Kenya. (2015). *Mwongozo The Code of Governance for State Corporations* (Vol. 1).
- Lumpkin, G., & Dess, G. (1996). Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance. *Academy of management Review*, 21(1), 135-172.
- Khalili, H., & Fazel, A. (2013). The influence of entrepreneurial orientation on innovative performance: Study of a petrochemical company in Iran. *Journal of Knowledge-based Innovation in China*.
- Kimani, E. (2016). Effect of adoption of Financial Innovation on Performance of small and medium Enterprises in Kenya.
- Kimberly, J., & Evanisko, M. (1981). Organizational innovation: The influence of individual, organizational, and contextual factors on hospital adoption of technological and administrative innovations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 24(4), 689-713.
- Kirungu, K. H. (2012). An Investigation of Possible Constraints to Efficient Management of the Supply Chain in Government Hospitals. *A Case Study for Kenyatta National Hospital. Mombasa: Government Training Institute*.
- Kithaka, J. (2016). *Influence of Entrepreneurial Orientation on Firm Performance Among Small and Medium Enterprises in the Automobile Industry in Nairobi County, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Kraus, S., Pohjola, M., & Koponen, A. (2012). Innovation in family firms: an empirical analysis linking organizational and managerial innovation to corporate success. *Review of Managerial Science*, 6(3), 265-286.
- Lakhani, K., & Wolf, R. (2003). Why hackers do what they do: Understanding motivation and effort in free/open-source software projects. *Open-Source Software Projects (September 2003)*.
- Lamine, K., & Lakhali, L. (2018). Impact of TQM/Six Sigma practices on company's performance: Tunisian context. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*.
- Lim, J., & Lee, K. (2019). Employment effect of innovation under different market structures: Findings from Korean manufacturing firms. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 146, 606-615.

- Lin, C., & Ho, Y. (2008). An empirical study on logistics service providers' intention to adopt green innovations. *Journal of technology management & innovation*, 3(1), 17-26.
- Miller, D. (1983). The correlates of entrepreneurship in three types of firms. *Management Science*, 29(7), 770-791
- Morrish, S. C., Miles, M. P., & Polonsky, M. J. (2011). An exploratory study of sustainability as a stimulus for corporate entrepreneurship. *Corporate social responsibility and environmental management*, 18(3), 162-171.
- Mutuku, V. (2016). *Relationship Between Entrepreneurial Orientation and Performance of Five Star Hotels in Nairobi, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Mutunga, S., & Minja, D. (2014). Generic strategies employed by food and beverage firms in Kenya and their effects on sustainable competitive advantage. *International Journal of Business and Management Review*, 2(6), 1-15.
- Mwaura, A., Gathenya, J., & Kihoro, J. (2015). Dynamics of entrepreneurial orientation on the performance of women owned enterprises in Kenya.
- Neely, A., Adams, C., & Kennerley, M. (2002). *The performance prism: The scorecard for measuring and managing business success*. London: Prentice Hall Financial Times.
- Onyango, F., & Ngahu, S. (2018). Influence of working capital management on financial distress in hospitality industry (a study of four- and five-star hotels in Nairobi County). *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance*, 9(3), 52-59.
- Pycraft, M., Singh, H., Phihlela, K., Slack, N., Chambers, S., & Johnston, R. (2010). *Operations management: global and Southern African perspectives*. Cape Town: Pearson Education.
- Rauch, A., Wiklund, J., Lumpkin, G., & Frese, M. (2009). Entrepreneurial orientation and business performance: An assessment of past research and suggestions for the future. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 33(3), 761-787.
- Richard, P., Devinney, T., Yip, G., & Johnson, G. (2009). Measuring organizational performance: Towards methodological best practice. *Journal of management*, 35(3), 718-804.
- Ritala, P., & Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P. (2013). Incremental and radical innovation in cooperation—The role of absorptive capacity and appropriability. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30(1), 154-169.
- Rotich, A. K., & Wanjau, K. (2017). The effect of Relationship banking and entrepreneurial orientation on financial performance of manufacturing firms in Kenya. *KCA Journal of Business Management*, 8(1), 59-73.
- Schumpeter, J. (1942). Creative destruction. *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*, 825, 82-85.
- Venkatraman, N., & Ramanujam, V. (1986). Measurement of business performance in strategy research: A comparison of approaches. *Academy of management review*, 11(4), 801-814.
- Wang, C. (2008). *Entrepreneurial Orientation, Learning Orientation, and firm Performance*. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 32, 635-657
- Wang, C., Lu, I., & Chen, C. (2008). Evaluating firm technological innovation capability under uncertainty. *Technovation*, 28(6), 349-363.
- Wiklund, J., & Shepherd, D. (2005). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Small Business Performance: A Configurational Approach. *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 20 No.1, pp.71-91.
- World Bank Group. (2013). *Global financial development report 2014: Financial inclusion* (Vol. 2). World Bank Publications.
- World Bank. (2018). *Poverty and shared prosperity 2018: Piecing together the poverty puzzle*.
- Wu, J. H., Tennyson, R. D., Hsia, T. L., & Liao, Y. W. (2008). Analysis of E-learning innovation and core capability using a hypercube model. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 24(5), 1851-1866.
- Yoon, H., Kwak, K., & Seo, R. (2012). The effects of global entrepreneurship and social capital within supply chain on the export performance. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Venturing and Entrepreneurship*, 7(3), 1-16.
- Zerenler, M., Hasiloglu, S., & Sezgin, M. (2008). Intellectual capital and innovation performance: empirical evidence in the Turkish automotive supplier. *Journal of technology management & innovation*, 3(4), 31-40.

