



Correspondence of Fixed-Point Theorem in $T_2, T_3 - SPACE$

Amos Koros ^a, Musundi Sammy Wabomba ^{a*} and Mark Okongo ^a

^a Department of Physical Sciences, Chuka University, P.O Box 109-60400, Chuka, Kenya.
Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Emails: swmusundi@chuka.ac.ke; okongo@chuka.ac.ke

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2024/v20i9827>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121833>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/06/2024
Accepted: 16/08/2024
Published: 19/08/2024

Abstract

Fixed-point theory (FPT) has lot of applications not only in the field of mathematics but also in various other disciplines. Fixed Point Theorem presents that if $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping on a complete metric space (X, d) then there exists a unique fixed point in X . FPT is also essential in game theory, in this case Brouwer Fixed Point has an application in game theory specifically in non-cooperative games and existence of Equilibrium. In particular, a game is a set of actions done by the participants defined by a set of rules. This is commonly described using mathematical concepts, which offers a concrete model to describe a variety of situations. On the other hand, the separation axioms $T_i, i = 0,1,2,3,4$ are vital properties that describes the topological spaces T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3 and T_4 . It is noted that a $T_3 - space$ is a generalized version of T_2 -space and since various results on application of fixed point theory in game theory on an arbitrary locally convex $T_2 - space$ has been established, in this study we sort to extend this concept to the general $T_3 - space$. The utilization of a symmetric property of Hausdorff space established that if two continuous commutative mappings are defined on a $T_3 - space$, then the two maps achieves unique fixed points.

*Corresponding author: Email: swmusundi@chuka.ac.ke;

Keywords: Fixed-point theory; game theory; brower fixed point; separation axiom.

1 Introduction

A topological space X is said to be a Hausdorff space (T_2 – space) if $\forall a, b \in X, a \neq b$, then \exists an open sets U and V such that $a \in U, b \in V, \text{ and } U \cap V = \emptyset$, whereas a T_3 – space is a regular T_1 – space (Kuratowski, K. [1]. Furthermore, a topological space X is regular if $\forall a \in X$ and any closed set A of X , \exists open sets say U and V such that $a \in U, A \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, while a topological space X is said to be T_1 – space if for any two points $a, b \in X$, where $a \neq b$ there exist open sets U and V such that $a \in U, b \notin U$ and $b \in V, a \notin V$ (Thron, W. J. [2]. In this study, we consider T_i – spaces for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ which are key separable topological spaces. In this case, $T_4 \rightarrow T_3 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T_0$. A case of interest in our study is the result that a T_3 – space implies T_2 – space as illustrated by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1: Every topological space T_3 – space is a T_2 – space Munkres et al., [3].

We also present of an important property of a symmetric Hausdorff space as presented by Gupta, V., Aydi, H., & Mani, N. [4] whereby, it is illustrated that a Hausdorff space X with a continuous mapping H is said to be a symmetric if it satisfies the following axioms;

- I. $H(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$
- II. $H(x, y) = H(y, x)$
- III. It is a T_1 – space

Having seen that T_3 – space is a generalization of T_2 – space and suppose that the T_3 – space satisfies a symmetric conditions, then as a consequence of Lemma 1.1 the following result is evident,

Lemma 1.2: Let X be a T_3 – space and $R: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping such $\forall x, y \in X$, then

- I. $R(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$.
- II. It is a regular T_1 – space
- III. $R(x, y) = R(y, x)$

Thus R is said to be symmetric on T_3 – space.

From lemma 1.1 and lemma 1.2 we have established T_2 – space and T_3 – space are symmetric .

Popa, [5] established a generalized results of Banach Fixed point theorem through Hausdorff topological space by considering the properties below:

1. $H(x, y) \neq 0$(1)
2. $H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha M(x, y) + \beta H(x, y)$(2)
3. $H(Fx, Fy) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{H(x, Fx)H(y, Fy)}{H(x, y)} + \beta H(x, y) \right)$..(triangular inequality).....(3)
4. $M(x, y) = \max \left\{ H(x, y), \frac{H(x, F(x))H(y, F(y))}{H(x, y)} \right\}$(4)
5. $H^2(x, y) \geq H(x, x)H(y, y)$(5)

Where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$ for some $x_0 \in X$ such that $Fx_0 = x_1$. By defining the sequence x_n in a Hausdorff space X such that $Fx_n = x_{n+1}$ and $Fx_{n-1} = x_n$, then through iteration process the sequence $x_n = \{F^n x_0\}$ has a convergent subsequent. As a result fixed point of F is attain.

Based on the results discussed above by Popa, [5] and utilizing the concept of symmetric Hausdorff space, we similarly make an effort of derivation of Fixed Point Theorem under T_3 – space in the proceeding proposition [6,7].

2 Main Results

Proposition 2.1:

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping on T_3 – space X into itself and let $R: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping which commutes with T satisfying the following conditions [8].

1. $R(x) \subset T(x) \forall x \in X$
2. $d(Rx, Ry) \leq \alpha(Tx, Ty), \forall x, y \in X,$

Then T and R have a fixed point.

Proof:

Choosing $x_0 \in X$ such that $Rx_0 = Tx_1$. Based on this, we define a sequence x_n in X such that $Tx_n = R(x_{n-1})$

Step 1:

Let $x_0 \in X$ and x_1 be such that $Tx_1 = Rx_0$, in general we choose x_n so that $Tx_n = R(x_{n-1})$
 $T(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})$ For all n

It follows from property (2)

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq T(Rx_{n-1}, Rx_n) \leq \alpha T(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta T(x_{n-1}, x_n) < T(x_{n-1}, x_n) \dots (1)$$

Then from property (4)

$$T(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \max \left\{ T(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{T(x_{n-1}, Rx_{n-1})T(x_n, Rx_n)}{T(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \right\}$$

$$= \max \{ T(x_{n-1}, x_n), T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \}$$

Suppose that

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) > T(x_{n-1}, x_n), \text{ it follows from equation (1)}$$

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha T(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta T(x_{n-1}, x_n) \dots (2)$$

Also if

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq T(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

It follows again from (1)

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)T(x_{n-1}, x_n) < T(x_{n-1}, x_n) \dots (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\alpha T(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta T(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq (\alpha + \beta)T(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq \alpha T(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta T(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\alpha T(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta T(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \alpha T(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta T(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\alpha T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha T(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq T(x_{n-1}, x_n) < T(x_{n-1}, x_n) \dots (4)$$

Using equation (3) and (4), and Repeating the process n times then,

$$T(x_n, x_{n+1}) < T(x_{n-1}, x_n) < \dots < T(x_1, x_0) < T(x_0, x_1)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ is bounded and letting $x_n \forall n \in N$ be a convergent sequence, denote the limit by t where $t \in X$. Let $x_{nk} \forall n \in N$ be subsequence such that if $\varepsilon > 0$ then by definition of convergence for x_n as $n \in N$, there

exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - t| < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq N$, but this value N will also work for x_{nk} , this is because if $n \geq N$ then $x_{nk} = x_m$ for some $m \geq n \geq N$ and so $|x_{nk} - t| = |x_m - t| < \varepsilon$. Thus $|x_{nk} - t| < \varepsilon$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x_{nk} = t$

Hence, we obtain a monotone sequence which converge with all its subsequence to some real number $t \in X$.

Step 2

Next is to show t is a fixed point for T and R

Where $t \in X$ such that $Tx_n \rightarrow t, Rx_n \rightarrow t$

Since T is continuous it implies R is also continuous.

Since T and R commutes it follows that

$R(T(x_n)) \rightarrow R(t)$ and $T(R(x_n)) \rightarrow T(t)$ So that

$R(T(x_n)) = T(R(x_n))$

then

$T(t) = R(t)$

And

$T(T(t)) = T(R(t)) = R(R(t))$ (by commutativity)

From contraction mapping

$d(R(t), R(R(t))) \leq \alpha d(T(t), T(R(t))) = \alpha d(R(t), R(R(t)))$

$d(R(t), R(R(t))) \leq \alpha d(T(t), T(R(t)))$

$d(R(t), R(R(t))) - \alpha d(R(t), R(R(t))) \leq 0$, Since $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$d(R(t), R(R(t)))(1 - \alpha) \leq 0$

$R(t) = R(R(t)) = T(R(t))$, then $R(t)$ is a fixed point for T and R

Step3

To show that R and T have unique fixed point, we

Suppose $R(t) = T(t) = t$ and $R(t') = T(t') = t'$

Then it follows from contraction principle,

$d(t, t') = d(R(t), R(t')) \leq \alpha d(T(t), T(t')) = \alpha d(t, t')$

but $\alpha < 1$

And thus

$t = t'$

Thus R and T have unique fixed point ■

3 Conclusion

In this study, it has been established that the generalized result by Popa, [5] of Banach Fixed point theorem in a T_2 topological space can be extended to a T_3 space if the considered T_3 - space possesses two continuous maps that commutates with one another.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Authors hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Kuratowski K. Topology. 2014; I:1.

- [2] Aull CE, Thron WJ. Separation axioms between T_0 and T_1 . Indag. Math. 1962;24:26-37.
- [3] Munkres JR, Smith AB, Johnson CD. Topology. Prentice Hall; 2000.
- [4] Gupta V, Aydi H, Mani N. Some fixed point theorems for symmetric Hausdorff function on Hausdorff spaces. Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences. 2015;9(2):833.
- [5] Popa, Some unique fixed point theorems in Hausdorff spaces. Indian J. pure appl. Math. 1983;14(6):713-717.
- [6] Andrzejranas,; Dugundji, James Fixed Point Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2003.
- [7] Aull CE, Thron WJ. Separation axioms between T_2 and T_3 . Indag. Math. 1962;24:26-37.
- [8] Kulpa W, Maćkowiak P. Equivalent forms of the Brouwer fixed point theorem I. Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis. 2014;44(1):263-276.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121833>